From: IN%"greg barnett@macmail.bu.oz.au" "Greg Barnett" 5-MAY-1993 13:40:43.

F LOIII:

Subj:

IN%"ccdirectors-qld@aarnet.edu.au"

To:

RE: Questnet/Aarnet organisation

To: Alan Coulter cc: cc-directors.QLD

I have previously offered an opinion that regional groupings are far more likely to achieve practical goals and concensus on issues as the regional representatives:

- meet more often,

- have common problems (state-based sales and support issues), and

- seem to work at a critical mass level (more so than CAUDIT appears to do). Communications options are also introduced on a regional basis (OPTUS phone services targetted Melbourne and Sydney first; Talkabout is Brisbane only for now).

Many of the issues for discussion by QUESTNET - requirements for supercomputing, TAFE, other government and quasi-govt instrumentalities, have no relevance to Bond, and I have no organisational, personal interest or experience to contribute. So I will continue to be silent appropriately.

I do not understand the need for QUESTNET to become an affiliate; it seems to mangle the relationships as we are all individual members. If AARNET agrees to regional representation, then we should also push for some simple way regional input can be achieved e.g. by voting where the vote from each region is weighted by say No. of Institutions or combined EFTSUs. How the QUESTNET vote is decided in the first place is an internal matter for QUESTNET members to argue.

On volume charging, ARRNET could have a problem if Bond Uni can't pay a bill - we issue no open-ended orders for fear of a blow out. We can only spend what we earn!!

On extending AARNET, perhaps we could discuss the relevance and impact of offering all secondary schools dial-up access to the nearest AARNET nodes (library searches, Year 12 computing, interschool & uni e-mail, course info distribution by unis to the schools).